



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/576,421

04/19/2006

Tetsuya Hayashi

043888-0447

9484

53080 7590 02/24/2010
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
600 13TH STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER

RADEMAKER, CLAIRE L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/24/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10576421	4/19/2006	HAYASHI ET AL.	043888-0447

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
600 13TH STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER

CLAIRE L. RADEMAKER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1795	20100219B
------	-----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences returned this Application to the Examiner on February 10, 2010. A review of the Application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal for the following reasons:

The Appeal Brief dated July 9, 2009 filed by the Appellant is not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c) effective September 13, 2004 because the "Summary of claimed subject matter" appearing on pages 2-3 of the Appeal Brief filed July 9, 2009 is deficient because it does not refer to the Specification for independent claims 1 & 5.

An entire new brief need not, and should not, be filed. Rather, a paper providing a summary of the claimed subject matter as required by 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c)(1)(v) will suffice. Failure to timely respond to the Office's requirement will result in dismissal of the appeal. See MPEP § 1215.04 and § 711.02(b).

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795